

Planning Team Report

emoval of Belmon	t to Valentine Road	Corridor			
Proposal Title :	Removal of Belmon	Removal of Belmont to Valentine Road Corridor			
Proposal Summary	 Remove acquisition layer from 14 Halyard Way, 10 Hill Street and 35 Macquarie Drive a Valentine-Belmont road corridor reservation. Rezone 14 Halyard Way from 5 Infrastructure Zone and 6(1) Open Space Zone to 7(2) Conservation (Secondary) Zone, Lake Macquarie LEP, 2004. 				
PP Number :	PP_2013_LAKEM_0	15_00	Dop File No :	13/17914	
roposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Received :	25-Nov-2013		LGA covered :	Lake Macqua	arie
Region :	Hunter		RPA :	Lake Macqua	arie City Council
State Electorate :	SWANSEA		Section of the Act	55 - Planning	g Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning				
ocation Details					
Street : 14	Halyard Way				
Suburb : Va	alentine	City :	Lake Macquarie	Postcode :	2280
Land Parcel : Lo	ot 803 DP 1156934				
Street : 10	Hill Street				
Suburb : Be	elmont	City :	Lake Macquarie	Postcode :	2280
Land Parcel : Lo	ot 1 Section P DP 10799				
Street : 35	Macquarie Drive				
Suburb : Be	elmont	City :	Lake Macquarie	Postcode :	2280
Land Parcel : Lo	ot 22 DP 879368				

Removal of Belmont to Valentine Road Corridor

DoP Planning Officer Contact Details

Contact Name :	Ken Phelan
Contact Number :	0249042705
Contact Email :	ken.phelan@planning.nsw.gov.au

RPA Contact Details

Contact Name :	Matthew Hill
Contact Number :	49210498
Contact Email :	mphill@lakemac.nsw.gov.au

DoP Project Manager Contact Details

Contact Name :

Contact Number :

Contact Email :

Land Release Data

Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Lower Hunter Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area:	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Governmen Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	t Yes		

If No, comment :

Have there been No meetings or communications with registered lobbyists?

If Yes, comment :

Supporting notes

Internal Supporting Notes : This 1950's main road corridor was abandoned by RMS but retained by Council for a future local road. Both RMS and Council now agree that the corridor is not required. As Council does not wish to acquire the land the acquisition layer can be removed. The infrastructure zoning is no longer appropriate and rezoning will maintain development rights that will enable the owner to pursue a seniors housing development. Because the land is mainly remnant bushland, except for a cleared powerline easement, an environmental zoning has been determined to be appropriate. Council seeks rezoning and acquisition layer removal for 14 Halyard Way. Council also

Council seeks rezoning and acquisition layer removal for 14 Halyard Way. Council also seeks acquisition layer removal from the other two properties that comprise the road corridor. However they will keep their LMLEP 2004 (5-Infrastructure) zone and be

	addressed through I MI ED 2012
	addressed through LMLEP 2013.
	Draft clause 7.13 Use of certain conservation land for Seniors Housing, of the draft Lake Macquarie LEP 2013 has implications for this proposal. The matter is currently being assessed by the Department and this is further discussed within the report.
External Supporting Notes :	The PP includes the rezoning of land previously identified for a road corridor. Similar proposals have generated controversy within the LGA recently. Furthermore the translation of the provisions relating to seniors housing has been the subject of numerous pieces of correspondence including ministerial correspondence. The PP has therefore been submitted to the Panel for their consideration due to it being potentially contentious.
equacy Assessme	nt
Statement of the ol	bjectives - s55(2)(a)
Is a statement of the o	bjectives provided? Yes
Comment :	1) Remove acquisition layer from LMLEP 2004 in respect of three properties on the Valentine-Belmont road reservation 2) Rezone the undeveloped lot known as 14 Halyard Way (approx. 29.6ha) from 5 Infrastructure and 6(1) Public Open Space to 7(2) Conservation (Secondary).
Explanation of prov	visions provided - s55(2)(b)
Is an explanation of pr	ovisions provided? Yes
Comment :	Plans for this main road link have been abandoned and removing the acquisition layer from Lake Macquarie LEP 2004 will avoid the need for Council to purchase the land.
	RMS advised in 1996 (copy attached) that it no longer required the land for a main road Council accepted acquisition responsibility as it saw the need for a local road. Council h subsequently changed their opinion.
	Council has provided an explanation of how the PP may proceed under both the current LEP and the draft LEP.
	Council indicates that the rezoning of 14 Halyard Way from 5 Infrastructure and 6(1) Pub Open Space to Conservation (Secondary) prior to gazettal of the new LEP, will maintain development potential under clause 41 of Lake Macquarie LEP 2004, while protecting the biodiversity values of this remnant bushland. This matter is further discussed later within this report.
Justification - s55 ((2)(c)
a) Has Council's strate	egy been agreed to by the Director General? No
b) S.117 directions ide	entified by RPA : 2.1 Environment Protection Zones
* May need the Directo	or General's agreement 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
Is the Director Gene	eral's agreement required? No
c) Consistent with Star	ndard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes
d) Which SEPPs have	the RPA identified?
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	SEPP 19- Bushland in Urban Areas (LGA is Scheduled in the SEPP) S.117 Direction 3.4- Integrating Land Use and Transport This Direction applies because the proposal affects urban land in the terms of Clause 3 of the Direction.
Have inconsistencies	with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? N/A
If No, explain :	

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Maps show zones, proposed rezoning, acquisition layer and layer removal for both LEP 2004 and LEP 2013 as well as changes to minimum lot size and height of buildings maps for LEP 2013.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

Comment :

No previous public consultation has occurred. Council proposes a public exhibition period of 28 days. For such minor proposals Guidelines recommend 14 days which, with targeted local notifications, is considered adequate in this case.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : March 2014

Comments in relation to Principal LEP :

The Lake Macquarie LEP 2013 is due for completion in early 2014.

Clause 41 of Lake Macquarie LEP 2004 Development for the purpose of retirement villages, provides for seniors housing development to be considered on land within the LGA, where it is within proximity to existing centres but may be otherwise prohibited. The translation of this clause into Council's Standard Instrument Lake Macquarie LEP 2013 was intended to address the clause in full, however submissions to the exhibition of the LEP raised concern that the current clause was not being translated accurately. Land at 14 Halyard Way is an example whereby the land would not be considered eligible under the proposed new clause, if the land is translated with a recreation or special purposes zone. The draft LEP and clause is currently with the Department and careful consideration of this matter is being given. Under the exhibited clause the land would need to be zoned 7(2) prior to the new LEP being gazetted if it was to be considered for seniors housing. In the circumstances it is considered appropriate to proceed with this PP as a mechanism to address concerns that the land would not otherwise be adequately translated in the absence of a settled clause.

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning	Removal of the acquisition layer avoids Council acquiring land in this obsolete road
proposal :	corridor reservation.
	Rezoning will maintain development rights as well as protect biodiversity values in this
	substantially wooded area.

Removal of Belmont to Valentine Road Corridor

Consistency withLower Hunter Regional Strategy (LHRS)strategic planningThe proposal is not specifically identified in the LHRS. However it is not inconsistent withframework :its broader intent.

Council's Cycling Strategy 2021

Council's Cycling Strategy identifies the subject land, as well as other parcels, as an indicative future link. However the Strategy recognises the need to obtain funding to implement the links that it proposes across the LGA over a 10 year period. The PP is not considered inconsistent with this Strategy because nothing within the PP precludes any future plans for acquisition or the construction of a cycling link on or within proximity to the land. In particular the land will retain an environmental zoning and contains an existing electricity easement. Council has determined that, at this point in time, the land is not to be acquired. However Council are encouraged to include reference to the Cycling Strategy within the exhibition documentation to assist the community in understanding the broader strategic context of the site.

SEPP 19 Bushland in Urban Areas

The proposal is consistent with this SEPP. The proposed zoning is supported by the Green Point-Floraville Wildlife Study, 1992. Council should be encouraged to exhibit relevant extracts from this study to assist the community in understanding the justification for the environmental zoning of the site.

SEPP 55 Remediation of land

Under the existing zoning a relatively broad range of uses are currently permitted. These uses do not change substantially under the proposed environmental zoning, although residential dwellings will, amongst other things, become permitted. As such it is appropriate that Council consider the provisions of SEPP 55, in particular whether the land is contaminated and, if contaminated, that it has or could be remediated to be suitable for all purposes which will be permitted.

2.1 Environment Protection Zones

The proposal extends land zoned for environmental protection and so is consistent with this Direction.

3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport

The proposal removes a transport provision from urban land that relates to motor transport, but which has potential for active transport as identified within Council's cycling strategy. However Council are not currently in a position to acquire land for cycling purposes and is of the opinion that it is no longer required for a future road. Nothing within the PP precludes any future plans for acquisition or the construction of a cycling link on or within proximity to the land. The PP is therefore considered consistent with this direction.

4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land

The land is within the Lake Macquarie Mines Subsidence District. Under the existing zoning a relatively broad range of uses are currently permitted. These uses do not change substantially under the proposed environmental zoning, although residential dwellings will, amongst other things, become permitted. Council have indicated that they will seek an update of previous advice from MSB and consistency or otherwise with this direction will be determined based on that advice.

4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection

The subject land is bushfire prone and will be subject to the Planning for Bushfire Protection guidelines. Consultation with the Rural Fire Service is intended by Council. Consistency or otherwise with this direction will be determined based on that advice.

6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes

This Direction facilitates removal of reservations no longer required for acquisition. Council, as the relevant authority, has agreed to the removal of the reservation of this land.

	With the agreement of the Dir direction.	ector General (or his delegate)	the PP is consistent with this	
Environmental social economic impacts :	The removal of the acquisition layer and rezoning of land is not expected to have any substantial environmental or social impacts.			
	a motor traffic route. The rez	cost of just terms acquisitions oning will assist to ensure that ng is continued under the new		
ssessment Proces	SS			
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	14 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	6 months	Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Mine Subsidence Board NSW Rural Fire Service			
Is Public Hearing by th	e PAC required? No			
(2)(a) Should the matte	er proceed ? Yes			
If no, provide reasons :	:			
Resubmission - s56(2)	(b) : No			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional	studies, if required. :			
If Other, provide reaso	ns :		*	
Identify any internal co	onsultations, if required :			
No internal consultati	ion required			
Is the provision and fu	nding of state infrastructure releva	ant to this plan? No		
If Yes, reasons :				

-

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Belmont_Removal of Road Corridor Acquisition_LMCC letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Green Point Floraville wildlife study - 1992.pdf	Study	Yes
PP 25Nov2013 - Abandonment of Valentine to Bel.pdf	Proposal	Yes
LMCC Cycling Strategy- Map Extract.docx	Study	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

.

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

Removal of Belmont to Valentine Road Corridor		
S.117 directions:	2.1 Environment Protection Zones 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes	
Additional Information :	The Planning Proposal should proceed with conditions:	
	1. The planning proposal should be finalised as an LEP within 6 months. A 6 month time-frame is recommended because of the relative minor nature of the planning proposal.	
	2. A 14 day community consultation exhibition period is recommended because of the relative minor nature of the planning proposal.	
	3. Consultation with relevant State authorities and agencies is listed below: * Mine Subsidence Board (to determine consistency with s117 Direction - 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land) * Rural Fire Service (to determine consistency with s117 Direction 4.4 Planning for	
	* Rural Fire Service (to determine consistency with s117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection) Prior to undertaking public exhibition, Council is to amend the Planning Proposal, if necessary, to take into consideration any comments made.	
	4. Council should consider the provisions of SEPP 55 and confirm that the proposal is consistent with the SEPP. Council is to amend the Planning Proposal to reflect this consideration.	
	5. Council's request to exercise their plan making delegations under section 59(1) of the EP&A Act is supported.	
	The Director General (or delegate) agrees, in relation to s117 direction 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes, to the reduction of the land for public purposes on the basis of the land no longer being required for a road.	
Supporting Reasons :	The proposal facilitates translation of the land into the Standard Instrument LEP in a manner which retains the ability to consider one of the sites for seniors housing.	
	The PP releives Council of land acquisition responsibility and costs along a local road corridor no longer considered necessary.	
	Council are encouraged to exhibit extracts of the Green Point-Floraville Wildlife Study, 1992 and the Cycling Strategy 2012 and the written correspondence regarding the abandonment of the road corridor signed by the Minister for Roads, with the PP.	
Signatura	101002	
Signature	-X-MAX	
Printed Name:	KOPLAHERTY Date: 13/12/13	

* *